

UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** APPLICATION NO. N19.12-0020 R 07/21/99 MOSSO 09/362,631 **EXAMINER** IM22/0130 MAYEKAR,K WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY P A PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH 1741 **SUITE 1600** MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-3319 **DATE MAILED:** 01/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No. 09/362,631 Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit

Mosso et al.

Examiner

Kishor Mayekar

1741



| Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 13, 2000 | |
|---|---|
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19 | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a). | e to respond within the period for response will cause the |
| Disposition of Claims | |
| X Claim(s) 1-14, 20-27, and 42-51 | is/are pending in the application. |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| Claim(s) | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are objected to. |
| | are subject to restriction or election requirement. |
| Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi The drawing(s) filed on | is approved disapproved. y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber) ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-5 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | No(s) |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON | I THE FOLLOWING PAGES |

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of invention of Group I, claims 1-14, 20-27 and 42-51 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. However, upon reviewing the remaining claims in the application, the Examiner would like to restrict the remaining claims 1-14, 20-27 and 42-51 as set in the following paragraphs.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8 and 45, drawn to a particle production apparatus, classified in class, subclass.
 - II. Claims 9-14, drawn to a particle production apparatus, classified in class422, subclass 186+.
 - III. Claims 20-27, drawn to a particle production apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 186+.
 - IV. Claims 42-44, drawn to a particle production apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 186+.

Art Unit: 1741

V. Claims 46-50, drawn to a particle production system, classified in class422, subclass 186+.

- VI. Claim 51, drawn to a particle production system, classified in class 250, subclass 336.1+.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- o Inventions of Group I and each of Groups II-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects.
- o Inventions of Group II and each of Groups III-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or

Application/Control Number: 09/362,631

Art Unit: 1741

different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects.

- o Inventions of Group III and each of Groups IV-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects.
- o Inventions of Group IV and each of Groups V-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects.
- o Inventions of Groups V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/362,631

Art Unit: 1741

§ 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Attorney Peter Dardi on 11/14/00 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1741

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under $37 \, CFR \, 1.48(b)$ and by the fee required under $37 \, CFR \, 1.17(I)$.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (703) 308-0477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathryn Gorgos, can be reached on (703) 308-3328. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner

Group 1700

KM

January 25, 2001